individual values of bond lengths and bond angles from their group averages give a basis for further study.

It is a pleasure to express our indebtedness to Dr W. H. Taylor for suggesting this work, and for his support and guidance throughout its execution. It will be obvious how much it owes to his forethought and wise planning, by which detailed structural studies of the key members of the felspar family have been made available for comparison with each other. We are grateful to Mr P. H. Ribbe for carrying out the bond angle calculations.
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure investigation of a compound ( $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ), previously known as 2-phenylisoisatogen, has established its chemical constitution to be that of 3 -benzoylanthranil, (or 3 benzoyl 2,1-benzisoxazole). The structure was solved by means of a well-resolved projection down a short axis and was refined with three-dimensional data using differential syntheses and least squares methods. The hydrogen atoms were located by difference syntheses. The configuration of the molecule and the bond lengths are discussed in terms of valence-bond resonance theory.


## Introduction

Ruggli (1919) and Ruggli, Caspar \& Hegedus (1939) assigned the tricyclic oxide bridge structure, I, to 2-phenylisoisatogen, the isomer obtained by treating 2-phenylisatogen (Baeyer, 1882) with hot methanolic $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. A re-examination of this structure by Cohen \& Pinkus (1959) cast doubt on the validity of the Ruggli formulation. The more plausible structure, II, was proposed, for which a planar or nearly planar molecule might be resonance stabilized cis or trans
with respect to the central $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ bond. This X-ray investigation was initially undertaken to verify this formulation and to decide between the two possible stereo-isomers, II or III. It became possible at an early stage in the analysis to identify the molecule as II, which is 3 -benzoylanthranil and this was briefly reported by Pinkus, Cohen, Sundaralingam \& Jeffrey (1960). A more detailed study was then pursued because of the interest in the detailed stereochemistry of the molecule and its interpretation in terms of resonance theory.

I


II


III

## Experimental

Monoclinic single crystals of 3 -benzoylanthranil elongated along the $b$ axis with well-developed faces on $\{001\},\{\overline{2} 01\}$ and $\{\overline{3} 01\}$ were obtained from ethanol solution. The cell constants were measured from Weissenberg photographs, using the Straumanis method, as

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=12 \cdot 39 \mathrm{I} \pm 0 \cdot 015, b=3 \cdot 892 \pm 0 \cdot 02, c=23.001 \pm 0.02 \AA \\
\beta=100^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \pm 15^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

The space group was deduced as $P 2_{1} / c$, from the systematic extinctions ( $h 0 l$ ) absent for $l$ odd, ( $0 k 0$ ) absent from $k$ odd. With $Z=4, D_{x}=1.36$ g.cm. ${ }^{-3}$; $D_{m}=1.34$ g.cm. ${ }^{-3}$, by flotation in aqueous solutions of $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$.

The intensities were measured by eye-estimation from multiple-film Weissenberg photographs taken with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. The zero to three layers about the $b$ axis and zero to six layers about the $a$ axis were recorded. The intensities were reduced to structure amplitudes by Shiono's (1959) IBM 650 data reduction program. The layers were scaled both by the common reflections and by comparison with the calculated structure factors when a good trial structure had been obtained. The scale factors from both methods agreed within $2 \%$. 1024 independent structure amplitudes were observed. No corrections were made for absorption and there was no evidence of extinction.

## The projection analysis

The initial $x$ and $z$ coordinates were obtained by fitting the structure II on trial Fourier maps based on signs obtained from Harker-Kasper inequalities and molecular packing considerations. The unitary structure factors were accidentally calculated with twice the proper scale factors, and in effect the 'hit-or-miss' method (Woolfson, 1961) was used. Although 15 of the 32 signs initially deduced were subsequently shown to be incorrect, the signs of the four strongest reflections were correctly determined and these mainly


Fig. 1. Fourier projection on (0l0). Contour intervals 1 e. $\AA^{-2}$, zero omitted, first broken.
determined the molecular orientation; the projection converged to a true solution very rapidly by ordinary Fourier and difference Fourier methods. The agreement index, $R$, for the $h 0 l$ reflections was 0.15 for the coordinates taken from the Fourier projection which is shown in Fig. 1. The good agreement and resolution in the electron density map and with the correct relative heights of the carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms established the chemical constitution of 2 phenylisoisatogen as 3-benzoylanthranil, with the oxygen atoms trans related as in II. At this stage in the analysis, it was believed that the molecule was very nearly planar and that the shortening of some of the bond lengths in projection could be accounted for by tilting the whole molecule in one plane.

## The three-dimensional analysis and refinement

The ( $h k 0$ ) Patterson projection indicated that the mean plane of the molecule was tilted about $27^{\circ}$ to (010). Assuming a planar model with normal distances and angles, a set of trial $y$ coordinates was obtained which satisfied the strongest ( $h k l$ ) reflections and gave acceptable intermolecular separations of greater than $3 \cdot 0$ À.

Successive structure factor and Fourier and difference Fourier calculations reduced the $R$ factor to $0 \cdot 14$ for all observed reflections with a uniform isotropic temperature factor of $B=3 \cdot 7 \AA^{2}$. The hydrogen atom contributions were included at calculated positions $1.08 \AA$, from the associated carbon atom and with the same temperature factor.

An isotropic least squares calculation with individual temperature factors was computed on the IBM 704 using the Busing \& Levy (1959) program. This reduced $R$ to $0 \cdot 11$. A final cycle of least squares anisotropic refinement with the same program reduced $R$ to 0.09 , and gave the atomic parameters shown in Table 1. In the least squares calculations, the Hughes (1941) weighting scheme was used and the unobserved

Table 1.
The atomic parameters

|  | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 0.0744 | $0 \cdot 2239$ | $0 \cdot 4186$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | -0.0341 | $0 \cdot 1727$ | $0 \cdot 4344$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | -0.1026 | 0.0256 | $0 \cdot 3777$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $-0.0665$ | -0.C866 | $0 \cdot 3253$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 0.0416 | $-0.0451$ | $0 \cdot 3199$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $0 \cdot 1135$ | $0 \cdot 1187$ | $0 \cdot 3669$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $0 \cdot 2234$ | 0.2190 | $0 \cdot 3774$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $0 \cdot 3065$ | $0 \cdot 1928$ | $0 \cdot 3394$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $0 \cdot 4257$ | $0 \cdot 2871$ | $0 \cdot 3628$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $0 \cdot 4761$ | $0 \cdot 2401$ | $0 \cdot 4220$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | 0.5862 | $0 \cdot 3260$ | 0.4411 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | $0 \cdot 6462$ | $0 \cdot 4536$ | $0 \cdot 4014$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | 0.5988 | $0 \cdot 5034$ | $0 \cdot 3431$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ | $0 \cdot 4870$ | $0 \cdot 4146$ | $0 \cdot 3223$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $0 \cdot 2473$ | $0 \cdot 3683$ | 0.4316 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $0 \cdot 2777$ | $0 \cdot 0988$ | $0 \cdot 2882$ |
| $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ | $0 \cdot 1533$ | $0 \cdot 3773$ | 0.4581 |

The standard deviation varied from 0.004 to $0.007 \AA$, with a mean value of 0.0064 for the carbons, 0.0047 for the oxygens, and 0.0058 for the nitrogen.

The anisotropic thermal parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  |  | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atom | $B_{11}$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $-0 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $-0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $-0 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $-0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 7$ | $-0 \cdot 3$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $-0 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $-0 \cdot 5$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $-0 \cdot 5$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{2}$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $-0 \cdot 5$ |

The standard deviations for the principal terms varied from 0.22 to 0.33 with a mean value of 0.29 , and for the cross terms varied from 0.08 to 0.13 with a mean value of 0.105 .
reflections were omitted. The hydrogen atoms were included at calculated positions with the same isotropic temperature factors as were obtained for their carbon atoms at the conclusion of the isotropic cycle of refinement. These parameters were fixed and not varied in the refinement.

Difference syntheses were computed at the conclusion of the isotropic refinement using both the two-dimensional ( $h 0 l$ ) data and the three-dimensional data with structure factors for which $\sin \theta \leq 0.5$. The structure factors were calculated with isotropic temperature factors with the hydrogen atoms omitted. The only significant features on these syntheses were the residual hydrogen peaks, shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The $H_{3}$ peak in Fig. 2 is distorted by overlap by the hydrogen projected from an adjacent molecule.

The observed hydrogen parameters are compared with the calculated values used in the least squares


Fig. 2. $\Delta F$ synthesis projected down $b$ axis. Contours at intervals of $0.05 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-2}$; zero, 0.05 and negative contours omitted. Only $F_{o}$ 's used with $\sin \theta \leq 0.5$.


Fig. 3. A composite $\Delta F$ synthesis showing hydrogen atoms. Contours at intervals of $0.05 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$, beginning from $0.1 \AA$. Only $F_{o}$ 's used with $\sin \theta \leq 0.5$.
cycles in Table 2. The differences are not significant in terms of the standard deviations.

The observed and calculated structure factors (obtained from the parameters in Table 1 and the calculated hydrogen parameters in Table 2), are given in Table 3. The unobserved amplitudes are omitted.

The bond lengths and angles and their standard deviations are given in Table 4, see also Fig. 4.


Fig. 4. Bond lengths and bond angles.


Fig. 5. The individual isotropic temperature factors and standard deviations in bond-lengths.

Table 2. The calculated and observed hydrogen coordinates

|  | $x / a$ |  | $y / b$ |  | $z / c$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | calc. | obs. | calc. | obs. | calc. | obs. |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -0.065 | $-0.051$ | $0 \cdot 225$ | $0 \cdot 292$ | $0 \cdot 463$ | 0.462 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $-0.187$ | $-0.190$ | $0 \cdot 002$ | $0 \cdot 008$ | $0 \cdot 382$ | $0 \cdot 383$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $-0.126$ | $-0.106$ | -0.189 | $-0.217$ | $0 \cdot 290$ | $0 \cdot 289$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 0.073 | $0 \cdot 069$ | $-0.107$ | $-0.067$ | $0 \cdot 281$ | $0 \cdot 279$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | $0 \cdot 429$ | $0 \cdot 434$ | $0 \cdot 127$ | 0.092 | $0 \cdot 452$ | 0.457 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $0 \cdot 620$ | $0 \cdot 605$ | $0 \cdot 316$ | 0.367 | $0 \cdot 487$ | $0 \cdot 486$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 0.735 | $0 \cdot 704$ | $0 \cdot 510$ | $0 \cdot 383$ | 0.417 | 0.415 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $0 \cdot 647$ | $0 \cdot 623$ | $0 \cdot 612$ | 0.595 | 0.314 | 0.297 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{14}$ | $0 \cdot 450$ | $0 \cdot 438$ | $0 \cdot 430$ | $0 \cdot 467$ | $0 \cdot 277$ | $0 \cdot 273$ |

Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors
The running indices are $h$ and $l$, and the value of $k$ immediately precedes the group The central column is $10\left|F_{o}\right|$, the right hand column $10 F_{c}$. Unobserved reflections are omitted
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Table 4
The bond-lengths and standard deviations

| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $1.390 \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1.364 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1.430 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1.376 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1.416 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 1.425 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.344 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 1.412 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 1.357 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 1.394 |


| $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $1 \cdot 473 \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I} \cdot 221$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $1 \cdot 520$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $1 \cdot 399$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | $1 \cdot 393$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | $1 \cdot 372$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | $1 \cdot 374$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{14}$ | $1 \cdot 421$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $1 \cdot 398$ |

The standard variations varied from 0.007 to 0.009 with a mean value $0.009_{0}$ for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds, $0.008_{7}$ for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, $0.008_{4}$ for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds and $0.007_{4}$ for the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$ bond.

| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.04 \AA$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.02 \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.12 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}$ | 0.78 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.02 | $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.20 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.06 | $\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.21 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.19 |  |  |

The standard deviation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds was about $0 \cdot 15 \AA$.

| The bond angles |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $117 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $130 \cdot 3^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $123 \cdot 0$ | $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $121 \cdot 2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $120 \cdot 0$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $118 \cdot 9$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $117 \cdot 9$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $120 \cdot 9$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $120 \cdot 1$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $120 \cdot 2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $121 \cdot 7$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $122 \cdot 7$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $104 \cdot 4$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{14}$ | $117 \cdot 6$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}$ | $111 \cdot 9$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | $120 \cdot 5$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $104 \cdot 6$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | $119 \cdot 8$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $110 \cdot 5$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | $121 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $108 \cdot 5$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{14}$ | $120 \cdot 3$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}^{2}$ | $126 \cdot 4$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $118 \cdot 6$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $135 \cdot 4$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $119 \cdot 7$ |

The standard deviations increase with the distance from the center of the molecule. This is the result of the thermal motion, which was greater for the atoms at the perimeter of the molecule, and is consistent with a rigid-body angular thermal oscillation, see Fig. 5. However the molecule is not planar and it seemed likely that some independent oscillation of the two ring systems about the central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond would be a significant feature of the thermal motion. No attempt was therefore made to analyze the thermal parameters quantitatively using the rigid-body approximation. Correspondingly no corrections could be made to the bond lengths for the apparent shortening due to thermal oscillations. These are estimated at $0.01 \AA$ for the most extreme case, which was for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond.

## Discussion of the structure

## (a) The planarity

In detail the whole molecule is far from planar, but it can be described in individual parts which are planar within the accuracy of the analysis. These parts are the quinoid ring, $\mathrm{C}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{6}$, the isoxazole ring $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{7}, \mathrm{O}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}$, the carbonyl group $\mathrm{C}_{7}, \mathrm{C}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$,
and the phenyl ring $\mathrm{C}_{9} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{14}$. The quinoid ring and isoxazole ring taken together form the anthranil ring system.

Table 5. The equations of the planes with respect to the orthogonal crystallographic axes $a, b, c^{\prime}$ and the deviations from the planes in $\AA$
Quinoid plane $\mathrm{C}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{6}$ $-0.1576 x+0.8969 y-0.4763 z=3.0030$

| Atoms | $\underbrace{\text { included }}_{0}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $0.004 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | -0.014 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 0.011 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 0.004 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | -0.014 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 0.010 |


| Atoms | $\underbrace{\text { omitted }}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $0.056 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 0.134 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | 0.028 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | -0.748 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | -0.827 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | -0.154 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | 0.619 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ | 0.706 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 0.059 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.306 |
| N | 0.043 |

Isoxazole plane $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{~N}$ $-0.1832 x+0.8886 y-0.4205 z=3.0518$

| Atoms included | Atoms | omitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1} \quad-0.002 \AA$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $0.015 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-0.002$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 0.069 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \quad 0.005$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 0.058 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}-0.007$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $0 \cdot 004$ |
| $\mathrm{N} \quad 0.005$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $0 \cdot 060$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $-0.087$ |

Anthranil plane $\mathrm{C}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{~N}$
$-0.1703 x+0.8925 y-0.4176 z=3.0178$

| Atoms included | Atoms omitted |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1} \quad-0.017 \AA$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \quad 0.081 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \quad-0.018$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \quad-0.046$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \quad 0.023$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2} \quad 0.262$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \quad 0.015$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \quad-0.020$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \quad-0.012$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \quad 0.014$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1} \quad 0.009$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N} \quad 0.006$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Carbony } \\ -0 \cdot 1360 x+0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8, \mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ & 898 z=1.8278 \end{aligned}$ |
| Atoms included | Atoms omitted |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \quad 0.002 \AA$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \quad-0.121 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \quad-0.006$ | $\mathrm{O}_{1} \quad 0.188$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9} \quad 0.002$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \quad-0.323$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2} \quad 0.002$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \quad-0.610$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \quad 0.612$ |

> Phenyl plane $\mathrm{C}_{9} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{14}$ $0.3108 x-0.9278 y-0.2065 z={ }_{1} \cdot 5715$

| Atoms | $r^{\text {included }}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $0.006 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | -0.002 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | -0.004 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | 0.008 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ | -0.009 |

In Table 5, the least square equations to the planes are given, together with the deviations from these planes.

Although the anthranil ring system is very nearly coplanar throughout its nine atoms, there is some evidence that it might be slightly warped as judged by the deviations from planarity when the quinoid and isoxazole rings are considered separately. These results are consistent with a dihedral angle between the planes at the central $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond of $1 \cdot 6^{\circ}$, but this feature is only possibly significant.

The carbonyl group makes an angle of $8 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ with the anthranil plane, and the phenyl ring is twisted a further $30.5^{\circ}$ from the carbonyl plane, so that the angle between the anthranil plane and the phenyl plane is $39^{\circ}$. As shown in Fig. 7, the anthranil and the phenyl planes are tilted in opposite directions with respect to the $(010)$ plane, and it was for this reason that the molecule was thought to be planar from the results of the projection alone.

In so far as coplanarity is a measure of conjugation, it would appear therefore that the carbonyl group is more conjugated with the anthranil system than with the phenyl group. In terms of valence-bond diagrams, this corresponds with a greater weight for the Kekule type structures, IV, which have eight double bonds, as compared with structures such as V which have only seven double bonds.


IV


V

## (b) Bond lengths

In the anthranil system, the benzene ring has an orthoquinoid arrangement of bonds, corresponding to the valence bond diagram II. However, the double bonds $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ have an average length of $1 \cdot 370 \AA$ which is longer than a double bond, and the bonds $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$, with an average length of $1.415 \AA$, are significantly shorter than a single bond. If equal weight is given to one structure of type II and two of type IV, the shorter bonds have two thirds and the longer bonds have one third double bond character. Using Pauling's (1960) relationship, this gives, respectively, lengths of $1 \cdot 371$ and $1 \cdot 423 \AA$, which are in good agreement with the observed values.

In the isoxazole ring, the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ bonds are also intermediate in length between double and single bonds. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ distance, however, is $1.41 \AA$, which is greater than the sum of the usual single bond covalent radii. This must be a characteristic of this ring system, which has adjacent nitrogen and oxygen atoms with three pairs of un-
shared electrons between them. A similar $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length of $1 \cdot 41 \AA$ has been reported in nitric acid by Maxwell \& Mosley (1940).

The two acyclic C-C bonds, $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ differ in length by $0.05 \AA$. The geometry of the molecule, discussed in the previous section, would indicate that the greater resonance interaction was between the carbonyl group and the anthranil rings, and this in fact, corresponds with the shorter bond length of $1 \cdot 473 \AA$. The phenyl group is inclined at $30^{\circ}$ to the plane of the carbonyl valencies and the $\pi$ bond interaction must be smaller, giving rise to the observed length of $1.520 \AA$. Dewar \& Schmeising (1959) have suggested that $1 \cdot 476 \AA$ be the accepted length of a $s p^{2}-s p^{2}$ carbon $\sigma$ bond with no $\pi$ bonding and that many of the bond shortening effects previously ascribed to conjugation can be accounted for by differences in hybridization. It is difficult to account for these bond lengths in this way. As far as this molecule is concerned, the explanation that $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ is a $s p^{2}-s p^{2}$ bond with very little $\pi$-bond character and that $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ is shorter by reason of a greater degree of $\pi$-bonding due to conjugation between the carbonyl group and the anthranil ring would seem to be most consistent with the molecular geometry. This would imply that the $s p^{2}$ carbon single-bond radius should be taken as at least $0.76 \AA$, i.e. longer than Dewar \& Schmeising's (1959) suggested value and in closer agreement to Coulson's (1948) original suggestion.

The carbonyl C-O bond length is $1.22 \AA$, which is well within the range of normal observed values. The characteristic infra-red frequency is $90 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$ less than the normal value (Pinkus, Cohen, Sundaralingam \& Jeffrey, 1960). While this may be a consequence of the conjugation or simply of the proximity to the adjacent isoxazole ring, there is no correspondingly abnormal stereochemical feature of the carbonyl group itself with which this spectroscopic observation can be associated.

Table 6. Comparison of theoretical and experimental bond lengths

| Bond | Obs. | Calc. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $1.39 \AA$ | $1 \cdot 41 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1.36 | $1 \cdot 38$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1.43 | 1.40 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1.38 | $1 \cdot 38$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1.42 | 1.41 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 1.43 | 1.42 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 1.39 | 1.42 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 1.47 | 1.42 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.34 | 1.36 |

A simple molecular orbital calculation was carried out for the anthranil ring system and the carbonyl group. The overlap integrals were assumed to be zero and the resonance integrals equal to $\beta$, irrespective of the atoms involved. The Coulombic integrals for the hetero-atoms were given the following usual values,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{\mathrm{N}}=\alpha+\left(x_{\mathrm{N}}-x_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \beta=\alpha+0.5 \beta \\
& \alpha_{\mathrm{O}}=\alpha+\left(x_{\mathrm{O}}-x_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \beta=\alpha+1.1 \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x$ is the electronegativities of the atoms concerned. The calculated bond orders and electronic


Fig. 6. Calculated bond orders, (in parenthesis), electronic charge and bond lengths in the anthraniloyl moeity.
charges are shown in Fig. 6. The C-C bond distances were derived from the calculated bond orders using the order-length curve of Daudel, Lefebvre \& Mciser (1959). For the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond a linear order-length curve was used with extreme values of $1.47 \AA$ and $1.26 \AA$, the sum of the single and double bond covalent radii of carbon and nitrogen respectively. Table 6 illustrates the good agreement between the observed and calculated distances, only $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ has a discrepancy greater than $0.03 \AA$. From Fig. 6 it is seen that an electrophilic substitution should preferentially take place at $\mathrm{C}_{7}$.

The phenyl group is not regular, although the differences from the mean bond length of $1.393 \AA$ do not exceed $0.03 \AA$ and are barely significant. If, as suggested by Trotter (1960), we assume $m m 2$ symmetry our results show the same trend as he discusses for other mono-substituted benzenes, i.e. a short, long, short, sequence for the $1-2,2-3$, and 3-4 benzene bonds.


Fig. 7. Diagram showing molecular arrangement.


Fig. 8. Arrangement of molecules in (010) projection, showing the shorter intermolecular contacts (less than $4 \AA$ ).

## (c) Intermolecular distances

All the intermolecular distances are greater than $3 \cdot 4 \AA$, and the arrangement of the molecules with some of these distances is shown in Figs. 7 and 8.
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A review of the literature has indicated that no work has been reported on the unit cell or structure of the various alkali carbides.

As part of a study of the ternary system, lithium-boron-carbon, a brief examination of the lithium-carbon system was conducted. A single crystal of lithium carbide was synthesized and isolated for study. The crystal was formed by reacting lithium and graphite at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for two days and slow-cooling. The elements were contained in an iron capsule with an argon atmosphere.

The crystal was identified as monoclinic. Weissenberg and rotation photographs were recorded about the $b_{0}$ axis. The Bradley-Jay (1932) extrapolation method was used to refine the $a_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ lattice constants computed from the zero-level Weissenberg photograph. The identity period along the $b_{0}$ axis was obtained from the rotation photograph. The angle $\beta$ was measured directly on the zero-level Weissenberg photograph.

Debye-Scherrer X-ray powder patterns were made using nickel-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. Line intensities were visually estimated. The ( $h k l$ ) combinations satisfying the powder pattern data were supplied by a computer. The observed and calculated $\sin ^{2} \theta$ values are compared in Table 1. Since the identity period along the $b_{0}$ axis could only be measured to $\pm 0 \cdot 1 \AA$, the $b_{0}$ value for each ( $h k l$ ) reflection was recalculated using the $a_{0}, c_{0}$,

[^0]and $\beta$ measurements from the Weissenberg photograph and the corresponding observed $\sin ^{2} \theta$ value from the powder pattern. In this manner, $b_{0}$ was refined to $\pm 0.005 \AA$. The resulting cell dimensions are:
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=7.801 \pm 0.002, \quad b_{0}=8.815 \pm 0.005 \AA, \\
c_{0}=10.865 \pm 0.005 \AA ; \beta=76 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 1^{\circ} .
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

The adjacent layer levels were similar and contained no systematic extinctions. The unit cell is, therefore, primitive and the space group is either $P 2, P m$, or $P 2 / m$. Since the crystal exhibited prismatic habit (elongated development along the $c_{0}$ axis), the space group $P 2 / m$ is suggested. The density of lithium carbide has been reported in the literature as $1.65 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$ (International Critical Tables, 1926). If the value is correct within $5 \%$, the unit cell would contain 18 to 20 molecules. A chemical analysis of the carbide confirmed the $50-50 \mathrm{at} . \%$ combination. The formula ratio $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ is discussed by Thorne \& Roberts (1948), based on the production of acetylene when the alkali carbides react with water.
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